

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>u</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,524	06/28/2001	Rafael A. Mena	TI-29612	8193	
23494 7.	590 07/05/2005	07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823	2823	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/895,524	MENA ET AL.			
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	George Fourson	2823			
Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timer within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	;d.			
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Intonvious Suma	(PTO 413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

This action is made non-final because of the inadvertent omission of claims 4,7 and 11 from the previous office action and because Ngo et al, previously relied on, is cumulative of the teachings of Tran et al, incorporated by reference therein.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tran et al.

Reliance is now on Tran et al which was incorporated by reference by Ngo et al, previously relied on. Tran et al discloses in figure 4 HDP oxide layer 42 over patterned metal features 41 and 14 and dielectric layer 43 over HDP oxide. HDP oxide layer 42 inherently has sloped edges as indicated by Yao et al (col.1). Formation of vias and contacts to the metal leads is depicted in figure 5, for example.

Claims 2,5,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran et al as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Lee.

Tran et al does not disclose the etch-dep ratio or the shape of the HDP oxide on the metal leads.

Lee discloses that in HDP deposition an etch-dep ratio resulting in a 45 degree angle is suitable in gap filling (col.1, lines 24-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Tran et al and Lee et al to enable the HDP oxide layer of Tran et al to be formed according to the teachings of Lee and further to enable desirable gap filling characteristics of the HDP oxide.

Lee discloses formation of pyramidal and trapezoidal shapes on the patterned features depending on the width of the features. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the metal leads having widths that result in both pyramidal and trapezoidal shapes of the HDP oxide on the leads depending on desired current carrying characteristics of the metal leads.

Claims 3,4,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran et al as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Wolf, Vol.2.

Tran et al does not disclose use of PETEOS, silane based oxide or spin-on glass as the dielectric layer. The reference discloses use of TEOS (col.6, line 49) and also discloses use of any of the dielectrics conventionally employed (col.7, lines 26-30).

Wolf, Vol.2, discloses use of PETEOS as an interlevel dielectric layer (p.194, last paragraph), silane based P doped oxide as an interlevel dielectric layer (p.195, last paragraph) and SOG as an interlevel dielectric layer (fig. 4-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wolf, Vol.2 with those of Tran et al to enable the dielectric layer of Tran et al to be formed of one of the materials disclosed to be suitable for such a purpose by Wolf, Vol.2.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,524

Art Unit: 2823

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran et al as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Tsai et al.

Tran et al does not disclose formation of the HDP oxide layer using F doped oxide.

Tsai et al discloses formation of multilevel interconnects including depositing phosphorous doped HDP liner layer 204 over metal leads 202 followed by gap filling layer 206 (col.3, lines 10-29 and fig.2A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Tran et al and Tsai et al to enable the HDP oxide layer of Tran et al to be formed according to the method of Tsai et al.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran et al as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of AAPA.

Tran et al does not disclose use of HSQ as the dielectric layer.

Applicant admits use of HSQ as an interlevel dielectric layer (instant page 1, lines 25-26) to have been known prior to applicant's invention.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the known method of forming an interlevel dielectric with the teachings of Tran et al to enable the interlevel dielectric of Tran et al to be formed according to the known method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

Art Unit: 2823

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson June 30, 2005